

1-D-2 | LOCATION OF MEETINGS**a. Facilities that Discriminate or Unreasonably Restrict Access to the Facility**

Section 286.011(6), F.S., prohibits boards or commissions subject to the Sunshine Law from holding their meetings at any facility which discriminates on the basis of sex, age, race, creed, color, origin, or economic status, or which operates in such a manner as to unreasonably restrict public access to such a facility. And see s. 286.26, F.S., relating to accessibility of public meetings to the physically handicapped.

Public boards or commissions, therefore, are advised to avoid holding meetings at places where the public and the press are effectively excluded. AGO 71-295. Thus, a police pension board should not hold its meetings in a facility where the public has limited access and where there may be a "chilling" effect on the public's willingness to attend by requiring the public to provide identification, to leave such identification while attending the meeting, and to request permission before entering the room where the meeting is held. AGO 96-55. And see Inf. Op. to Galloway, August 21, 2008, in which the Attorney General's Office expressed concerns about holding a public meeting in a private home in light of the possible "chilling effect" on the public's willingness to attend.

While a city may not require persons wishing to attend public meetings to provide identification as a condition of attendance, it may impose certain security measures on members of the public entering a public building, such as requiring the public to go through metal detectors. AGO 05-13.

b. Luncheon Meetings

Public access to meetings of public boards or commissions is the key element of the Sunshine Law, and public agencies are advised to avoid holding meetings in places not easily accessible to the public. The Attorney General's Office has suggested that public boards or commissions avoid the use of luncheon meetings to conduct board or commission business. These meetings may have a "chilling" effect upon the public's willingness or desire to attend. People who would otherwise



attend such a meeting may be unwilling or reluctant to enter a public dining room without purchasing a meal and may be financially or personally unwilling to do so. Inf. Op. to Campbell, February 8, 1999; and Inf. Op. to Nelson, May 19, 1980. Cf. *City of Miami Beach v. Berns*, 245 So. 2d 38, 41 (Fla. 1971), in which the Florida Supreme Court observed: "A secret meeting occurs when public officials meet at a time and place to avoid being seen or heard by the public." See also the discussion on page 48 relating to inaudible discussions.

c. Out-of-Town Meetings

The fact that a meeting is held in a public room does not make it public within the meaning of the Sunshine Law; for a meeting to be "public," the public must be given advance notice and provided with a reasonable opportunity to attend. *Bigelow v. Howze*, 291 So. 2d 645, 647-648 (Fla. 2d DCA 1974). See also the discussion on pages 24-25 relating to inspection and fact-finding trips.

Accordingly, a school board workshop held outside county limits over 100 miles away from the board's headquarters violated the Sunshine Law where the only advantage to the board resulting from the out-of-town gathering (elimination of travel time and expense due to the fact that the board members were attending a conference at the site) did not outweigh the interests of the public in having a reasonable opportunity to attend. *Rhea v. School Board of Alachua County*, 636 So. 2d 1383 (Fla. 1st DCA 1994). The court refused to adopt a rule prohibiting any board workshops from being held at a site more than 100 miles from its headquarters, instead applying a balancing of interests test to determine which interest predominates in a given case. As stated by the court, "[t]he interests of the public in having a reasonable opportunity to attend a Board workshop must be balanced against the Board's need to conduct a workshop at a site beyond the county boundaries." *Id.* at 1385. And see Inf. Op. to Sugarman, August 5, 2015 (no apparent authority for use of electronic media technology to allow city pension board members to remove a workshop or meeting from within the jurisdiction in which the board is empowered to carry out its functions and claim compliance with the Sunshine Law by providing the public with electronic access to the remote meeting).

In addition, there may be other statutes which limit where board meetings may be held. See, e.g., s. 125.001, F.S. (meetings of the board of county commissioners may be held at any appropriate public place in the county); s. 1001.372, F.S. (school board meetings may be held at any appropriate public place in the county). And see AGOs 08-01 and 03-03 (municipality may not hold commission meetings at facilities outside its boundaries). See now ss. 166.0213(1), F.S. (governing body of municipality with 500 or fewer residents may hold meetings within 5 miles of the exterior jurisdictional boundary of the municipality at such time and place as may be prescribed by ordinance or resolution); 166.0213(2), F.S. (governing body of a municipality may hold joint meetings to receive, discuss, and act upon matters of mutual interest with the governing body of the county within which the municipality is located or the governing body of another municipality at such time and place as shall be prescribed by ordinance or resolution); and 125.001(2), F.S. (authorizing boards of county commissioners to hold joint public meetings with governing boards of adjacent counties or municipalities upon due public notice within the jurisdiction of all participating counties and municipalities; provided that an authorizing resolution is adopted, no official vote is taken at the joint meeting, and the joint meeting may not take the place of a public hearing required by law). Cf. AGO 20-03, noting that a quorum of the board must be physically present at the meeting of a board which is required to be held at a place within the body's jurisdiction. For more information on this issue, please see the discussion on pages 38-40.

Conduct which occurs outside the state which would constitute a knowing violation of the Sunshine Law is a second degree misdemeanor. Section 286.011(3), F.S. Such violations are prosecuted in the county in which the board or commission normally conducts its official business. Section 910.16, F.S.

d. Size of Meeting Facilities

The Sunshine Law requires that meetings of a public board or commission be "open to the public." If a large turnout is expected for a particular meeting, the Attorney General's Office has recommended that public boards and commissions take reasonable steps (such as moving the meeting to a larger room) to accommodate those who wish to attend. Inf. Op. to



Galloway, August 21, 2008. If the largest available public meeting room cannot accommodate all of those who are expected to attend, the use of video technology (e.g., a television screen outside the meeting room) may be appropriate. See *Kennedy v. St. Johns River Water Management District*, No. 2009-0441-CA (Fla. 7th Cir. Ct. September 27, 2010), per curiam affirmed, 84 So. 3d 331 (Fla. 5th DCA 2011), available online in the Cases database at the open government site at myfloridalegal.com (even though not all members of the public were able to enter the meeting room, board did not violate the Sunshine Law when it held a meeting at the board's usual meeting place and in the largest available room; the court noted, however, that the board set up a computer with external speakers so that those who were not able to enter the meeting room could view and hear the proceedings).

(Florida Office of the Attorney General // © March 9, 2023)

